

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/812,841                       | 03/30/2004  | Ahmed Kamal          | U 015113-6 3118     |                  |  |
| 7590 06/01/2005                  |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| William R. Evans                 |             |                      | KIFLE, BRUCK        |                  |  |
| Ladas & Parry<br>26 West 61 Stre | et          |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB |                  |  |
| New York, NY 10023               |             |                      | 1624                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application                 | Application No. Applicant(s)                          |              |        |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Antique Comments   |   | 10/812,841                  |   | KAMAL ET AL. |        |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner                    |   | Art Unit     |        |  |  |  |
|   |   | Bruck Kifle,                |   | 1624         |        |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed o  | n <u>30 March 2004</u> .    |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 3)  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is                 |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice u  | under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> g | /le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45                                 | 3 O.G. 213.  |        |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 9)□   | The specification is objected to by the Ex  | xaminer.                    |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | (s)   |                             |   |              |        |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | Interview Summary (         |   |              |        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Infom  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO<br>· No(s)/Mail Date 8/11/04. | /SB/08) 5                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai ) Notice of Informal Pa ) Other: |              | )-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/812,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

i) It is suggested to replace the phrase "Pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4]benzodiazepine hybrid of the formula" with "A compound of the formula" in claims 1-9 and, similarly, it is suggested to replace "pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4] benzodiazepine hybrid" with "compound" in claim 10. The language is not precise to name the compounds and appears to suggest more than what is positively being recited in the claims.

- ii) Claims 1-9 and 16 do not end in a period.
- iii) In claims 17 and 18 it is known which mammal is being treated.

Claim 21 provides for the use of a compound, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not provide enablement for the treatment of cancer generally. No compound has ever been found that can treat cancers generally even though massive efforts have been directed towards this end. Since this assertion is contrary to what is known in oncology, proof must be provided that this revolutionary assertion has merits. Nearly all anti-cancer drugs are effective against only a limited group of related tumors. Therefore, a compound effective against cancer generally would be a revolutionary exception. Applicant is asserting that he succeeded where others have failed. Where extensive efforts have all failed, it is reasonable for the Patent and Trademark Office to require proof that the claimed invention actually works for this specific utility. It is well established that a utility rejection is proper when scope of enablement is not reasonably correlated to the scope of the claims. (In re Vaeck 20 USPQ2d 1439, 1444, In re Ferens 163 USPQ 609).

In re Buting 163 USPQ 689 establishes that even clinical tests showing that a compound found to be useful in the treatment of two types of cancers was not sufficient for a much broader range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesdays to Fridays between 8:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. James Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

BK

May 25, 2005